Clark University

Clark Digital Commons

Computer Science

Faculty Works by Department and/or School

5-11-2024

Generative AI in User-Generated Content

Yiqing Hua

Shuo Niu

Jie Cai

Lydia B. Chilton

Hendrick Heuer

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://commons.clarku.edu/faculty_computer_sciences



Part of the Artificial Intelligence and Robotics Commons

Authors

Yiqing Hua, Shuo Niu, Jie Cai, Lydia B. Chilton, Hendrick Heuer, and Donghee Yvette Wohn



Generative AI in User-Generated Content

Yiqing Hua* yiqingh@google.com Google USA

Lydia B. Chilton chilton@cs.columbia.edu Columbia University USA Shuo Niu* shniu@clarku.edu Clark University USA

Hendrik Heuer hheuer@uni-bremen.de University of Bremen Bremen, Germany Jie Cai jie.cai@psu.edu Penn State University USA

Donghee Yvette Wohn yvettewohn@gmail.com New Jersey Institute of Technology USA

ABSTRACT

Generative AI (Gen-AI) is rapidly changing the landscape of User-Generated Content (UGC) on social media. AI tools for generating text, images, and videos, such as Large-Language Models (LLM), image generation AI, AI-powered video material tools, and deep fake technologies, are accelerating creators in obtaining content ideas, drafting outlines, and streamlining creative workflows. The capabilities of Gen-AI could introduce new opportunities to lower the bar and accelerate the pace of content creation for grassroots creators, thereby expanding the volume of AI-generated UGC on social media. However, we lack the necessary understanding of how the wide deployment of such technologies will impact the social media ecosystem. The introduction of Gen-AI can lead to both opportunities and potential challenges among different creator communities, requiring collaboration from both academia and industry. This workshop seeks to bring together experts working on relevant topics of Gen-AI and UGC, to roadmap research on important issues boldly and responsibly.

CCS CONCEPTS

\bullet Human-centered computing \to Collaborative and social computing.

ACM Reference Format:

Yiqing Hua, Shuo Niu, Jie Cai, Lydia B. Chilton, Hendrik Heuer, and Donghee Yvette Wohn. 2024. Generative AI in User-Generated Content. In Extended Abstracts of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI EA '24), May 11–16, 2024, Honolulu, HI, USA. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 7 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3613905.3636315

1 INTRODUCTION

The past few years have seen numerous exciting developments and a variety of applications for Generative AI (Gen-AI). These advancements are powered by foundational models trained on vast datasets, making them adaptable to a wide array of tasks [7, 40]. With minimal instructions, these models can generate text [37, 47],

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

CHI EA '24, May 11–16, 2024, Honolulu, HI, USA

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-0331-7/24/05

https://doi.org/10.1145/3613905.3636315

images [45, 63], and even videos [26, 53]. Some are indistinguishable from content created by humans. Research has shown that Gen-AI can write programming code, jokes, and even college-level essays [47]. Gen-AI systems also possess the capability to make medical diagnoses and explain intricate scientific concepts [17].

These powerful models are accessible to the general public. People can engage with chatbots driven by large language models like ChatGPT or utilize Gen-AI technologies incorporated into commonly used products [44]. For instance, Google recently revealed that its chatbot, Bard, will be integrated into several popular Google applications, including Google Docs and Drive. We can now observe content that is either entirely or partially AI-generated [10, 38]. Social media platforms, especially ones featuring User-Generated Content, have been significantly affected by the trend of generating content with AI. Seeking a deeper understanding of the potential benefits and risks of Gen-AI [7] on UGC platforms requires expertise from HCI and AI practitioners across industry and academia.

Employing Gen-AI technologies to produce, enhance, or process UGC aligns with the evolving culture of social media. Gen-AI could cause a paradigm shift from social media platforms dominated by UGC to an online space increasingly filled with fully AI-generated content or content created with AI's assistance. On the positive side, Gen-AI can craft more human-like chatbots, generate realistic images, streamline scripting and content editing, and produce human-like videos without the need for real actors. However, these advancements come with risks, including the spread of misinformation, potential for biased or misleading responses, threats to privacy through impersonation, and the possibility of inequity for marginalized communities. The inherent nature of UGC, marked by its open access, non-professional production, and rapid online dissemination [41], could be shifted by the capabilities of Gen-AI and bring unique interaction and communication challenges to social media platforms. Given the new changes introduced by Gen-AI for creators, users, communities, and platforms, it is imperative for HCI researchers to explore research opportunities and identify pathways to address potential problems Gen-AI may pose within the UGC ecosystem.

Through this workshop, we aim to delve into the opportunities and challenges Gen-AI presents within the realm of UGC on social media. This workshop explores the current status of this shifting paradigm, and the social, legal, ethical, and practical implications of such usage and what generative AI means for this application domain [1, 15, 16], in order to search for best practices under such context. Building on the synergy of our previous CHI'23 workshops

^{*}Both authors contributed equally to this research.

[40, 42], we are extending an invitation to HCI and ML practitioners from both academia and industry to discuss the new opportunities and challenges brought by AI-generated content on social media, to explore its impact on communication, creators, consumers, online communities, and social media platforms. The outcome of this workshop will establish a research roadmap and catalyze collaborations in new research initiatives.

2 GENERATIVE AI IN USER-GENERATED CONTENT

User-Generated Content (UGC) is derived from individuals who voluntarily share information or media, making it accessible to other users [33]. Naab et al. established three criteria for UGC: it requires a significant individual contribution; it must be publicly accessible; and it should not emerge from professional contexts or practices [41]. UGC is a crucial medium that empowers users to voice their perspectives and engage with others by disseminating different forms of information [39]. Social media platforms like YouTube, Facebook, Instagram, and TikTok have magnified the reach of UGC, allowing non-professionals to create and share their content, thereby significantly augmenting the breadth of content available on the internet. Moreover, personalized recommendation algorithms have enhanced user experiences by delivering user and context related posts [36]. To protect users, content moderation is essential to eliminate problematic content, such as harassment, violence, and misinformation [19].

Recent Gen-AI technologies, such as ChatGPT, Midjourney, DALL-E, Google Bard, and Synthesia, have been adopted for UGC creation, introducing new dynamics to the social media ecosystem. In the realm of HCI, Gen-AI has found applications in text editing [18, 20], generating synthetic responses for HCI experiments [24], and creating personas [43]. However, the role of Gen-AI in the context of user-generated social media content remains largely under-explored. The use of Gen-AI in UGC can be considered as a form of "AI-mediated communication" [25], a term coined by Hancock et al., wherein computational agents act on behalf of creators by modifying, enhancing, or generating content to achieve communication or interpersonal objectives. Example use cases include marketing campaigns created using LLM [14], generating or editing images or short videos from written instructions [45, 55, 63], and producing videos from brief descriptions [4]. With the rapid proliferation of Gen-AI within creator communities, where many non-professional users are producing AI-generated articles, images, and videos, researchers in HCI, ML, and social media must assess its impact on social media content, creators, consumers, online communities, and UGC platforms [3].

3 CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR GEN-AI IN USER GENERATED CONTENT

Characterize AI-generated content. The burgeoning field of Gen-AI continues to draw significant attention from both academia and industry. Recent advancements in Gen-AI have achieved automated techniques for crafting text [37, 47], images [8, 45, 63], music [28], code [60], and videos [26, 53]. Social media is known for spreading AI-generated content. For example, a 2016 study unveiled that between 9% and 15% of active Twitter accounts were identified as

chatbots [57]. The development of Gen-AI brought more powerful technology to this application domain. Users use Chat-GPT to create attention-grabbing Twitter headlines 1; Instagram now provides restyling tools that alter image backgrounds or apply artistic filters ²; AI virtual YouTubers whose videos are entirely AI-generated start to emerge on the platform ³[58]. At the same time, the rise of deepfakes in social media and journalism has escalated concerns regarding their potential to generate misinformation [54, 61]. With the proliferation of AI-generated or AI-augmented content, HCI practitioners must anticipate a surge in such content. This underscores the urgency to characterize the UGC crafted by AI and the AI methods behind its creation. Given the risks associated with the malicious use of AI in generating deceptive content such as misinformation and fake news [64], it becomes imperative to develop and refine user-centered methods for analyzing, auditing, and flagging such content.

How does Gen-AI impact content creators? The open and free access to UGC platforms enables anyone to produce content, thereby significantly amplifying the volume of social media content [9]. The incorporation of Gen-AI holds the potential to further inspire creativity and streamline the content creation process. For instance, AI tools can help users plan, structure and reflect on their writing process [13]. Creators can make AI-generated images through simple text prompts [59, 63]. AI empowers TikTok users to utilize augmented reality effects without the necessity to craft assets from scratch [55]. Text-to-video tools allow creators to generate realistic motions with text input [45]. Deepfake technologies can produce learning videos without the need for scripting and video shooting [6, 11]. Yet the influence of Gen-AI on the creative process, creative output, creative roles, human-AI collaboration in content creation, ethics and responsibility in AI creation, and the evaluation of creative work warrant further exploration [12]. Furthermore, the interaction between Gen-AI usage and the monetary incentive behind content creation [21, 27, 32], as well as the impact of AIgenerated content on creators' ability to generate income, is another topic of discussion.

How does AI-generated content influence users on social media? Engagement and trust in user-generated content are essential for users' social media experiences [42, 56]. While Gen-AI facilitates content production, it might produce low-quality or deceptive content that reduces user engagement and hampers trust in the platform [29]. For instance, LLM tools possess disadvantages such as a lack of empathy, potential for bias and inaccuracies, limited context awareness, difficulties in scaling, and the need for human oversight [5]. Previous studies suggest that individuals might lack the skills [30] and willingness to identify AI-generated fake content, despite the evident risks and harm to users [51]. Understanding how people perceive genuine versus AI-augmented content is also a crucial topic [62]. Conversely, recognizing the value of AI-generated content for social media users is imperative. For instance, a resourceful chatbot can function as a virtual companion, intelligent agent, or task-focused assistant [23]. Self-modelling with deepfakes

 $^{^{1}} https://aicontentfy.com/en/blog/how-chatgpt-can-assist-with-creating-more-effective-content-for-twitter$

 $^{^2\}mbox{https://www.engadget.com/generative-ai-image-editing-is-coming-to-instagram-183711111.html}$

³https://www.japantimes.co.jp/life/2022/12/29/digital/kizuna-ai-vtubers/

can enhance the performance of physical exercises in video-based learning [11]. We need a profound understanding of how the increasing prevalence of AI-generated content affects the safety and trust of UGC consumers. Additionally, it is essential to discern the potential value that Gen-AI content can offer users.

How does Gen-AI impact online communities, especially disadvantaged groups? The proliferation of UGC has facilitated the formation of diverse communities on social media around shared interests and identities [22, 48, 50]. Particularly for disadvantaged and underrepresented groups, UGC platforms offer a means to connect with peers, establish social circles, and strengthen their identities [35, 52]. The emergence of AI as a tool for content creation has introduced AI entities as new members within these communities. An AI-powered chatbot can act roles such as antagonist, archivist, authority figure, dependent, clown, social organizer, or storyteller within social media communities [49]. AI has also been employed to interpret image content for visually impaired users [34]. Nonetheless, potential biases, discrimination, and the inaccessibility of AI tools can compromise the fairness of social media platforms. As an example, ChatGPT has been found susceptible to several biases, including those related to gender, race, culture, language, and ideology [46]. The question of whose values are represented by generative AI systems trained on large collections of data needs to be solved to ensure equity and inclusion [31]. As such, understanding how AI might introduce new disparities for disadvantaged social media communities and devising strategies to alleviate these risks are imperative.

How does UGC platforms curate AI-generated content? Over the past decade, recommender systems have profoundly reshaped the landscape of social media platforms by providing personalized content [36]. Major platforms like Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, and TikTok have employed curation algorithms to sort, filter, and enhance user feeds. In addition, to counter problematic content, algorithms for moderation have been put in place to scrutinize, assess, and manage UGC in line with community guidelines and policies [19]. The challenge of how to index and prioritize AI-generated UGC remains unresolved. Such content has the potential to manipulate recommendation algorithms into assigning it higher rankings. As Gen-AI may produce content that can mislead users or propagate misinformation, there is a pressing need to devise novel content moderation strategies to address these emerging challenges.

4 TOPICS AND THEMES

Reflecting on the new opportunities and challenges mentioned above, we aim to gather approximately 15-30 scholars and practitioners in a hybrid format to discuss the application of Gen-AI in content creation and its impact from diverse perspectives. The organizers of this workshop have previously organized the CHI'23 workshops on *credibility, trust, and safety on video-sharing plat-forms* [42] and on *generative AI and HCI* [40]. This workshop will be a continuation and expansion of the discussion, further delving into the roles of Gen-AI in content creation. We hope to attract audiences from both academia and industry, comprising experts who play various roles – such as policymakers, content creators and consumers, machine learning practitioners, moderators, and

Content • What types of user-generated content can make use of generative AI and how? • How can methods be used to study AI-generated content? How can HCI methods such as user studies, content analysis, auditing, etc., be applied in studying Gen-AI content? • How can generative AI be misused, especially regarding critical issues such as misinformation, plagiarism, online radicalization, and others? Creator • How will AI-generated content impact content creators? • How does AI-generated content influence creative process? • How does content creators collaborate with Gen-• How will AI-generated content affect the ability of traditional creators to monetize effectively? • How does the increasing amount of AI-generated Consumer content impact consumers? • What values can AI-generated content bring to social media users? • How does AI influence people's trust in the content credibility? Commu-• How can generative AI impact disadvantaged communities? nity · Does GenAI lead to new inequities for disadvantaged groups? • How can the risks to disadvantaged communities be mitigated? **Platform** • How may Gen-AI impact the social media ecosystem when applied to user-generated content? • How should the platform index and curate AIgenerated content?

Table 1: Topics and Themes

• Given the risks that generative AI may present,

how does this impact moderation needs?

others – in the Gen-AI and content creation ecosystem. Specifically, a list of tentative research directions to be addressed in our workshop encompasses content, creators, consumers, communities, and platforms [3] in Table 1.

5 ORGANIZERS

Yiqing Hua is a software engineer at YouTube. Her current work focuses on racial justice, equity and product inclusion on YouTube. She received her PhD from Cornell in 2022. Her PhD research lies in the intersection of social computing and security and privacy. Her work focuses on characterizing threats to online trust and safety, and enabling abuse mitigation in privacy-sensitive environments.

Shuo Niu is an assistant professor of Computer Science at Clark University. He investigates collaborative and community activities on video-sharing platforms like YouTube and TikTok. His research delves into vast video data sets to scrutinize interactions

with user-generated videos, as well as the underlying recommendation and AI algorithms. Specifically, Niu is keen on examining the socio-technical infrastructure of video-sharing platforms and its implications on mental health, misinformation, and technology design.

Jie Cai is an assistant research professor at the College of IST, Penn State University. His primary interests focus on phenomena in novel and interactive online communities in HCI and CSCW, such as gaming, shopping, and content moderation. He is currently working on content moderation in live-streaming communities, focusing on understanding volunteer moderators' practices to support streamers' community growth.

Lydia B. Chilton is an assistant professor in the Computer Science Department at Columbia University. Her research is in computational design - how computation and AI can help people with design, innovation, and creative problem-solving. Applications include: creating media for journalism, developing technology for public libraries, improving risk communication during hurricanes, helping scientists explain their work, and improving mental health in marginalized communities.

Hendrik Heuer is a senior researcher at the Institute for Information Management Bremen (ifib) and the Centre for Media, Communication and Information Research (ZeMKI) at the University of Bremen. His research focuses on Human-Computer Interaction and Machine Learning. Currently, he is working on ways to fight misinformation.

Donghee Yvette Wohn is an associate professor at NJIT and director of the Social Interaction Lab. Her research is in the area of Human Computer Interaction where she studies the characteristics and consequences of social interactions in online environments such as livestreaming, esports, virtual worlds/metaverse, and social media. Funded by the National Science Foundation, Mozilla Foundation, and Yahoo, her main projects examine 1) content moderation, online harassment and the creation/maintenance of online safe spaces, 2) social exchange in digital economies and digital patronage (creator-supporter dynamics), and 3) news consumption via social media.

6 PRE-WORKSHOP PLANS AND PLANS TO PUBLISH PROCEEDINGS

Interested participants need to submit either an abstract or a position paper (recommended length of a position paper is two pages) that describes their past work or research initiatives related to generative AI and content creation. Specifically, we will ask the participants to describe how their (future) research on Gen-AI involves UGC content, creators, consumers, communities, and platforms. The organizers will use this information to facilitate the workshop discussion. We will advertise this workshop on social media (X/Twitter, Facebook, etc.), SIGCHI and CSCW mailing lists, Discord, Slack channels, and our personal and professional networks to recruit participants. We will publish the accepted submissions on ArXiv as workshop proceedings for all authors who agreed to publish. Before the workshop, we will invite accepted participants to a Discord channel to post announcements and coordinate workshop activities. This step also enables asynchronous discussion before and after the workshop. In addition to that, authors of accepted submissions will



Figure 1: An example Miro board to be used during the workshop discussion.

be invited to contribute to a podcast episode that we will produce to disseminate the results more widely (participation is voluntary). In all of our efforts, we will prioritize diversity of perspectives and representation to make the workshop diverse, inclusive, and equitable as possible. The organizers will email the participants one week before the workshop to start contributing to the Miro board to facilitate discussion.

7 WORKSHOP STRUCTURE

We plan to structure the workshop into three main phases: preworkshop preparation, a one-day workshop during the CHI2024 timeframe, and optional follow-up activities. The workshop activities are designed around two primary topics: examining the impact of Gen-AI on UGC and developing guidelines for Gen-AI in content creation.

The main workshop schedule for the in-person participants can be seen in Table 2. We will open with the workshop's motivation, schedule, and introduction to the organizers. During the preworkshop preparation, the organizers will summarize participants' reflection on how Gen-AI influence the five components in Table 1 to inspire the discussion. We will also give each participant 2 minutes to introduce their research.

We will kick off the morning session with a panel of invited speakers to discuss key opportunities and challenges of Generative AI in user generated content. Following the panel, we will form random groups of 3 or 4 participants and open up the discussion in the audience. The organizers will provide a template Miro board to facilitate the discussion (Figure 1). The first core question will ask participants to identify two or three particular types of AIgenerated content (e.g., AI-generated blogs, deepfake videos, etc.) that are worthy of the attention of the HCI community. Participants can either choose the content from the position paper topics or add new ones during the workshop. Then, for each of these content categories, we will ask participants to reflect on the opportunities and challenges such content brings to the creators, consumers, communities, and platforms. This exercise will allow participants to exchange thoughts on the impact of Gen-AI on user-generated content.

During the afternoon session, participants will select a topic from the morning session and formulate a research agenda to develop guidelines for Gen-AI in UGC. We will present various contexts, such as the implications of Gen-AI in content creation and consumption, the emerging need for content moderation, designs for AI-driven content creation, and policies pertinent to both the community and platform. We will organize several breakout rooms under the theme "Understanding Best Practices", with each group

Time	Activity
9:00-10:00	Opening and Introductions
10:00-10:20	Coffee Break
10:20-11:30	Panel of Invited Speakers
11:30-12:10	Group Discussion 1 - Impact of Generative AI
	on User Generated Content
12:10-13:20	Lunch Break
13:20-14:30	Group Discussion 2 - Guidelines for Generative
	AI in Content Creation
14:30-14:50	Coffee Break
14:50-15:30	Presentation and Reflection
15:30-16:00	Closing Remarks

Table 2: Workshop schedule

having 5-8 participants. The primary objective is to develop guidelines tailored for designers, regulators, users, and consumers of Gen-AI. In the breakout activity, participants will explore their chosen topics in two phases: designing a research agenda for guideline development and brainstorming potential guidelines related to Gen-AI for different stakeholders. First, participants will pick stakeholders, choose HCI research methodologies, and outline crucial factors for exploration. Then, we will use the AI design guidelines proposed by Amershi et al. [2] as a foundational template but adapt it for Gen-AI and UGC. We'll craft activities and Miro boards to encourage participants to brainstorm which guidelines might be vital initially, during interaction, when errors happen, and over time.

For remote participants who attend asynchronously, the organizers may facilitate separate Zoom/alternative similar platform sessions. The planned activities will identify opportunities and challenges of Generative AI in user generated content and give participants an overview of this space. The optional follow-up activities include submission of future HCI workshops, co-authoring publications, and collaborative research projects.

8 WEBSITE

We will present our call for submissions, organizer information, and workshop events, and submissions at https://genai-in-ugc.github.io.

9 WORKSHOP MODE

The workshop will be in hybrid mode. The workshop will be hosted in person during CHI '24. The participants will be offered an opportunity to join over Zoom/alternative similar platform and utilize breakout rooms for small group discussions. We will use Miro Board to organize activities so in-person and online participants can both join the event. A hybrid workshop can broaden participation since it will help eliminate the concerns over travel costs and visas. Since AI-generated content on social media poses great challenges and opportunities worldwide, the hybrid format will allow us to broaden global participation and encourage participation from the Global South.

10 ASYNCHRONOUS ENGAGEMENT

We will offer two options for attending the workshop asynchronously. First, all workshop materials, including accepted position papers, Miro board, and Discord discussion, will be available all the time to asynchronous participants. There will be links on the website for all participants to view at any time. Participants will be encouraged to make a bio card on Miro to introduce themselves. Second, we will acknowledge all the participants. For participants who want to give a presentation, we will allow participants to send a pre-recorded video to the organizers, and the organizers will display the videos during the workshop in the opening introduction. As an option, if a few participants cannot attend live on Zoom/alternative similar platform, the organizers will facilitate separate sessions for group discussion.

11 POST-WORKSHOP PLANS

The website will run for a day. All notes and materials from the workshop will be documented, made accessible, and shared on the website. We plan to summarize the knowledge and future work from the workshop with the broader HCI community through blog posts, social media posts, and submissions for future workshops. The website may be reused for future workshops. The Discord channel will continue for discussion and community-building after the workshop.

12 ACCESSIBILITY

We will require the authors to provide an accessible PDF of all the position papers. For online workshop attendees, auto-generated captions will be enabled, and the participants will have the option to turn them on. Participants with special accessibility requirements can contact the organizers. The organizers will work with the Accessibility Chair to solve other accessibility issues.

13 CALL FOR PARTICIPATION

Generative AI (GenAI) is rapidly transforming the landscape of User-Generated Content (UGC) on social media in all aspects. This workshop seeks to convene experts from both industry and academia to deliberate on the social, legal, ethical, and practical implications of employing generative AI in content creation and to discuss best practices when leveraging such technology. The workshop will be conducted in a hybrid mode. The event will be held in-person at CHI '24 and will also be available on Zoom or a similar platform. To participate, you are invited to submit an abstract or a two-page position paper detailing your research background, your interest in Generative AI and content creation, and/or your prospective related work. We are keen to understand how your research intersects with Gen-AI content, creators, consumers, communities, and platforms. With your consent, your submitted abstract will be published on the workshop website and ArXiv. During the workshop, we will brainstorm the impact of generative AI on content creation, as well as the potential opportunities and challenges it might introduce. Subsequently, attendees will collaborate to draft design guidelines for employing Gen-AI on social media. At least one author of each accepted submission must be present at the workshop physically or virtually. All attendees must register for the workshop and for at

least one day of the conference. To learn more about the workshop, please visit https://genai-in-ugc.github.io.

REFERENCES

- [1] Oscar Alvarado, Hendrik Heuer, Vero Vanden Abeele, Andreas Breiter, and Katrien Verbert. 2020. Middle-Aged Video Consumers' Beliefs About Algorithmic Recommendations on YouTube. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction 4, CSCW2 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1145/3415192
- [2] Saleema Amershi, Dan Weld, Mihaela Vorvoreanu, Adam Fourney, Besmira Nushi, Penny Collisson, Jina Suh, Shamsi Iqbal, Paul N Bennett, Kori Inkpen, et al. 2019. Guidelines for human-AI interaction. In Proceedings of the 2019 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems (CHI '19).
- [3] Ava Bartolome and Shuo Niu. 2023. A Literature Review of Video-Sharing Platform Research in HCI. In Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '23).
- [4] Matt Binder. 2023. YouTube goes all in on AI with new generative AI audio and video tools for creators. https://mashable.com/article/made-on-youtube-aicreator-tools-dream-screen. (2023).
- [5] Som Biswas. 2023. The Function of chat GPT in social media: According to chat GPT. Available at SSRN 4405389 (2023).
- [6] I. Blagoev, G. Vassileva, and V. Monov. 2023. ANALYSIS OF TOOLS FOR GENERATION OF EDUCATIONAL CONTENT USING ARTIFICIAL INTELLI-GENCE. In EDULEARN23 Proceedings (Palma, Spain) (15th International Conference on Education and New Learning Technologies). IATED, 5078–5086. https: //doi.org/10.21125/edulearn.2023.1331
- [7] Rishi Bommasani, Drew A Hudson, Ehsan Adeli, Russ Altman, Simran Arora, Sydney von Arx, Michael S Bernstein, Jeannette Bohg, Antoine Bosselut, Emma Brunskill, et al. 2021. On the opportunities and risks of foundation models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.07258 (2021).
- [8] Andrew Brock, Jeff Donahue, and Karen Simonyan. 2018. Large Scale GAN Training for High Fidelity Natural Image Synthesis. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [9] Jean Elizabeth Burgess. 2007. Vernacular creativity and new media. Ph. D. Dissertation
- [10] Michael Chui, Roger Roberts, and Lareina Yee. 2022. Generative AI is here: How tools like ChatGPT could change your business. Quantum Black AI by McKinsey (2022).
- [11] Christopher Clarke, Jingnan Xu, Ye Zhu, Karan Dharamshi, Harry McGill, Stephen Black, and Christof Lutteroth. 2023. FakeForward: Using Deepfake Technology for Feedforward Learning. In Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (Hamburg, Germany) (CHI '23).
- [12] Antonio Crupi, Alessandra Costa, Asha Thomas, and Puja Khatri. [n. d.]. Unveiling the Future of Creativity and Innovation Management in the era of Generative Artificial Intelligence. ([n. d.]).
- [13] Hai Dang, Karim Benharrak, Florian Lehmann, and Daniel Buschek. 2022. Beyond Text Generation: Supporting Writers with Continuous Automatic Text Summaries. In Proceedings of the 35th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (Bend, OR, USA) (UIST '22). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 98, 13 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3526113.3545672
- [14] Thomas Davenport and Nitin Mittal. 2022. How Generative AI Is Changing Creative Work. Harvard Business Review (2022).
- [15] Nicholas Diakopoulos. 2019. Automating the news: How algorithms are rewriting the media. Harvard University Press.
- [16] Motahhare Eslami, Aimee Rickman, Kristen Vaccaro, Amirhossein Aleyasen, Andy Vuong, Karrie Karahalios, Kevin Hamilton, and Christian Sandvig. 2015. "I Always Assumed That I Wasn't Really That Close to [Her]": Reasoning about Invisible Algorithms in News Feeds. In Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (Seoul, Republic of Korea) (CHI '15).
- [17] Ravindra Kumar Garg, Vijeth L Urs, Akshaya Anand Agrawal, Sarvesh Kumar Chaudhary, Vimal Paliwal, and Sujita Kumar Kar. 2023. Exploring the Role of Chat GPT in patient care (diagnosis and Treatment) and medical research: A Systematic Review. medRxiv (2023), 2023–06.
- [18] Katy Ilonka Gero, Vivian Liu, and Lydia Chilton. 2022. Sparks: Inspiration for Science Writing Using Language Models. In Proceedings of the 2022 ACM Designing Interactive Systems Conference (Virtual Event, Australia) (DIS '22). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1002–1019. https://doi.org/10.1145/3532106.3533533
- [19] Tarleton Gillespie. 2018. Custodians of the Internet: Platforms, content moderation, and the hidden decisions that shape social media. Yale University Press.

- [20] Steven M. Goodman, Erin Buehler, Patrick Clary, Andy Coenen, Aaron Donsbach, Tiffanie N. Horne, Michal Lahav, Robert MacDonald, Rain Breaw Michaels, Ajit Narayanan, Mahima Pushkarna, Joel Riley, Alex Santana, Lei Shi, Rachel Sweeney, Phil Weaver, Ann Yuan, and Meredith Ringel Morris. 2022. LaMPost: Design and Evaluation of an AI-Assisted Email Writing Prototype for Adults with Dyslexia. In Proceedings of the 24th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility (Athens, Greece) (ASSETS '22). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 24, 18 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3517428.3544819
- [21] Google. 2022. History of Monetization at YouTube YouTube5Year. https://sites.google.com/a/pressatgoogle.com/youtube5year/home/history-of-monetization-at-youtube
- [22] Quentin Grossetti, Cédric du Mouza, and Nicolas Travers. 2019. Community-Based Recommendations on Twitter: Avoiding the Filter Bubble, Reynold Cheng, Nikos Mamoulis, Yizhou Sun, and Xin Huang (Eds.). Springer International Publishing, Cham, 212–227.
- [23] Jonathan Grudin and Richard Jacques. 2019. Chatbots, Humbots, and the Quest for Artificial General Intelligence. In Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (Glasgow, Scotland Uk) (CHI '19).
- [24] Perttu Hämäläinen, Mikke Tavast, and Anton Kunnari. 2023. Evaluating Large Language Models in Generating Synthetic HCI Research Data: A Case Study. In Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (Hamburg, Germany) (CHI '23).
- [25] Jeffrey T Hancock, Mor Naaman, and Karen Levy. 2020. AI-mediated communication: Definition, research agenda, and ethical considerations. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication 25, 1 (2020), 89–100.
- [26] Jonathan Ho, William Chan, Chitwan Saharia, Jay Whang, Ruiqi Gao, Alexey Gritsenko, Diederik P Kingma, Ben Poole, Mohammad Norouzi, David J Fleet, et al. 2022. Imagen video: High definition video generation with diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.02303 (2022).
- [27] Yiqing Hua, Manoel Horta Ribeiro, Thomas Ristenpart, Robert West, and Mor Naaman. 2022. Characterizing alternative monetization strategies on YouTube. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction 6, CSCW2 (2022).
- [28] Cheng-Zhi Anna Huang, Ashish Vaswani, Jakob Uszkoreit, Noam Shazeer, Curtis Hawthorne, Andrew M. Dai, Matthew D. Hoffman, and Douglas Eck. 2018. An Improved Relative Self-Attention Mechanism for Transformer with Application to Music Generation. CoRR abs/1809.04281 (2018). arXiv:1809.04281 http://arxiv. org/abs/1809.04281
- [29] Maurice Jakesch, Megan French, Xiao Ma, Jeffrey T Hancock, and Mor Naaman. 2019. AI-mediated communication: How the perception that profile text was written by AI affects trustworthiness. In Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '19).
- [30] Maurice Jakesch, Jeffrey T Hancock, and Mor Naaman. 2023. Human heuristics for AI-generated language are flawed. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 120, 11 (2023), e2208839120.
- [31] Rebecca L Johnson, Giada Pistilli, Natalia Menédez-González, Leslye Denisse Dias Duran, Enrico Panai, Julija Kalpokiene, and Donald Jay Bertulfo. 2022. The Ghost in the Machine has an American accent: value conflict in GPT-3. arXiv:2203.07785 [cs.CL]
- [32] Susanne Kopf. 2020. "Rewarding Good Creators": Corporate Social Media Discourse on Monetization Schemes for Content Creators. Social Media + Society 6, 4 (10 2020), 2056305120969877. https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305120969877
- [33] J Krumm, N Davies, and C Narayanaswami. 2008. User-Generated Content. IEEE Pervasive Computing 7, 4 (2008), 10–11. https://doi.org/10.1109/MPRV.2008.85
- [34] Jaewook Lee, Jaylin Herskovitz, Yi-Hao Peng, and Anhong Guo. 2022. Image-Explorer: Multi-Layered Touch Exploration to Encourage Skepticism Towards Imperfect AI-Generated Image Captions. In Proceedings of the 2022 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (New Orleans, LA, USA) (CHI '22).
- [35] Alexander Libin, Manon Schladen, Inger Ljungberg, Brenda Tsai, Sydney Jacobs, Kendra Reinauer, Shannon Minnick, Miriam Spungen, and Suzanne Groah. 2011. YouTube as an on-line disability self-management tool in persons with spinal cord injury. Topics in Spinal Cord Injury Rehabilitation 16, 3 (dec 2011), 84–92. https://doi.org/10.1310/sci1603-84
- [36] Pasquale Lops, Marco de Gemmis, and Giovanni Semeraro. 2011. Content-based Recommender Systems: State of the Art and Trends. Springer US, Boston, MA, 73–105. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-85820-3{_}3
- [37] James Manyika. 2023. An overview of Bard: an early experiment with generative AI. Technical Report. Tech. rep., Technical report, Google AI.
- [38] Andrey Miroshnichenko. 2018. AI to bypass creativity. Will robots replace journalists?(The answer is "yes"). Information 9, 7 (2018), 183.
- [39] Jihad Mohammad, Farzana Quoquab, Ramayah Thurasamy, and Main Naser Alolayyan. 2020. The effect of user-generated content quality on brand engagement: The mediating role of functional and emotional values. *Journal of Electronic Commerce Research* 21, 1 (2020), 39–55.
- [40] Michael Muller, Lydia B Chilton, Anna Kantosalo, Charles Patrick Martin, and Greg Walsh. 2022. GenAICHI: Generative AI and HCI. In Extended Abstracts of the 2022 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (New Orleans, LA, USA) (CHI EA '22).

- [41] Teresa K Naab and Annika Sehl. 2016. Studies of user-generated content: A systematic review. *Journalism* 18, 10 (10 2016), 1256–1273. https://doi.org/10. 1177/1464884916673557
- [42] Shuo Niu, Zhicong Lu, Amy X Zhang, Jie Cai, Carla F Griggio, and Hendrik Heuer. 2023. Building Credibility, Trust, and Safety on Video-Sharing Platforms. In Extended Abstracts of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI EA '23).
- [43] Joon Sung Park, Lindsay Popowski, Carrie Cai, Meredith Ringel Morris, Percy Liang, and Michael S. Bernstein. 2022. Social Simulacra: Creating Populated Prototypes for Social Computing Systems. In Proceedings of the 35th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (Bend, OR, USA) (UIST '22).
- [44] Yury Pinsky. 2023. Bard can now connect to your Google apps and services. Technical Report. https://blog.google/products/bard/google-bard-new-features-update-sept-2023/
- [45] Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, and Mark Chen. 2022. Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents. arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125 1, 2 (2022), 3.
- [46] Partha Pratim Ray. 2023. ChatGPT: A comprehensive review on background, applications, key challenges, bias, ethics, limitations and future scope. *Internet of Things and Cyber-Physical Systems* 3 (2023), 121–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ioteps.2023.04.003
- [47] Kevin Roose. 2022. The brilliance and weirdness of ChatGPT. https://www.nytimes.com/2022/12/05/technology/chatgpt-ai-twitter.html. The New York Times (2022).
- [48] Dana Rotman and Jennifer Preece. 2010. The WeTube in YouTube—creating an online community through video sharing. International Journal of Web Based Communities 6, 3 (2010), 317–333.
- [49] Joseph Seering, Michal Luria, Geoff Kaufman, and Jessica Hammer. 2019. Beyond Dyadic Interactions: Considering Chatbots as Community Members. In Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (Glasgow, Scotland Uk) (CHI '19).
- [50] Joseph Seering, Tony Wang, Jina Yoon, and Geoff Kaufman. 2019. Moderator engagement and community development in the age of algorithms. New Media & Society 21, 7 (jan 2019), 1417–1443. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444818821316
- [51] Farhana Shahid, Srujana Kamath, Annie Sidotam, Vivian Jiang, Alexa Batino, and Aditya Vashistha. 2022. "It Matches My Worldview": Examining Perceptions and Attitudes Around Fake Videos. In Proceedings of the 2022 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '22).
- [52] Ellen Simpson and Bryan Semaan. 2021. For You, or For"You"? Everyday LGBTQ+ Encounters with TikTok. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction 4, CSCW3 (jan 2021). https://doi.org/10.1145/3432951
- [53] Uriel Singer, Adam Polyak, Thomas Hayes, Xi Yin, Jie An, Songyang Zhang, Qiyuan Hu, Harry Yang, Oron Ashual, Oran Gafni, et al. 2022. Make-a-video: Text-to-video generation without text-video data. arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14792 (2022).
- [54] Rashid Tahir, Brishna Batool, Hira Jamshed, Mahnoor Jameel, Mubashir Anwar, Faizan Ahmed, Muhammad Adeel Zaffar, and Muhammad Fareed Zaffar. 2021. Seeing is Believing: Exploring Perceptual Differences in DeepFake Videos. In Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (Yokohama, Japan) (CHI '21).
- [55] Tiktok. 2023. Using Generative AI to Create TikTok Effects. Technical Report. https://effecthouse.tiktok.com/learn/guides/general/using-generative-ai-to-create-tiktok-effects
- [56] Mariapina Trunfio and Simona Rossi. 2021. Conceptualising and measuring social media engagement: A systematic literature review. *Italian Journal of Marketing* 2021, 3 (2021), 267–292. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43039-021-00035-8
- [57] Onur Varol, Emilio Ferrara, Clayton Davis, Filippo Menczer, and Alessandro Flammini. 2017. Online Human-Bot Interactions: Detection, Estimation, and Characterization. Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media 11, 1 (May 2017), 280–289. https://doi.org/10.1609/icwsm.v11i1. 14871
- [58] Qian Wan and Zhicong Lu. 2023. Investigating VTubing as a Reconstruction of Streamer Self-Presentation: Identity, Performance, and Gender. arXiv:2307.11025 [cs.HC]
- [59] Yunlong Wang, Shuyuan Shen, and Brian Y Lim. 2023. RePrompt: Automatic Prompt Editing to Refine AI-Generative Art Towards Precise Expressions. In Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (Hamburg, Germany) (CHI '23).
- [60] Justin D. Weisz, Michael Muller, Stephanie Houde, John Richards, Steven I. Ross, Fernando Martinez, Mayank Agarwal, and Kartik Talamadupula. 2021. Perfection Not Required? Human-AI Partnerships in Code Translation. In 26th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces (College Station, TX, USA) (IUI '21). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 402–412. https: //doi.org/10.1145/3397481.3450656
- [61] Mika Westerlund. 2019. The emergence of deepfake technology: A review. Technology innovation management review 9, 11 (2019).

- [62] Leslie Wohler, Martin Zembaty, Susana Castillo, and Marcus Magnor. 2021. Towards Understanding Perceptual Differences between Genuine and Face-Swapped Videos. In Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (Yokohama, Japan) (CHI '21).
- [63] Jiahui Yu, Yuanzhong Xu, Jing Yu Koh, Thang Luong, Gunjan Baid, Zirui Wang, Vijay Vasudevan, Alexander Ku, Yinfei Yang, Burcu Karagol Ayan, et al. 2022. Scaling autoregressive models for content-rich text-to-image generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.10789 2, 3 (2022), 5.
- [64] Jiawei Zhou, Yixuan Zhang, Qianni Luo, Andrea G Parker, and Munmun De Choudhury. 2023. Synthetic Lies: Understanding Al-Generated Misinformation and Evaluating Algorithmic and Human Solutions. In Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (Hamburg, Germany) (CHI '23).