Clark University Clark Digital Commons

Sermons, 1905-1919

Pittsfield, Unity Church, 1905-1919

What Is Christianity? The Letter Killeth but the Spirit Giveth Power

Earl Clement Davis

Follow this and additional works at: https://commons.clarku.edu/pittsfield_sermons

what is christianity." The letter Killeth but the spirit quith former, What is chies liamity? is a question that seems to la forcing it's very to the port again, sait has done Time and Time again chining the centimies suice the disciples and oferther follower of Jeren took of the work after Jern death, James and the grouf at Jerusalen gove one interfatotion of it, still chinging to the old femish form and in siting ofm the seven of sinceres cisicon, Paul on the other hand held that it worke ov difference unbether one more circumcised or ort. In follow in spirit, to be justified by faith the wor christianity. Each succeeding generation worde it's interfectation. a Christianily grew in unbers and former, Finally These come a line

when the letter, the adherance to vou essenti neech, to forms, ritorly, and the sacrements had token the christein church so far away from the spirit of christianity, that it become necessary to go book to the serve and whe a perh invertigation into the nature and former that the great Myclif. Lother. Huns, Colinia, and the reformen to of the task of freing the spirit from the form But it won vot long before the spirt had become infrisind in the forms of Protestantions. The Pilquins and Purities to of the work of the reformers, and horght the spirit of Christianty to this country He it has been subjected to several very serve aver examinations, In such one of those struggles the spirit has conquered over the letter. for the letter is already dead, and

it is the attempt of the spirit to escope from, dead bock of form that causes the struggles and distin bouces I which from time to time disturb the quiet of the religions would. What is Christianly is vow coving to be a comman question. The reason why this question is being asked with such seriousuan to: day is ferhop wors fai reaching thre at any time since the early dorp of its new bon perhous. That conception of Christianily which looked upon it as a feculiar and special mechnism by which the few mear to be sovied from the general rack and ruin of the world, is vo longer one to which man can or cloadhere. It workes little a vo differance whather it is the catholic Church the "whatant Bible, or the

owefiel chuit, or any other agency that is to be the centroling former and expositor of that great mechnical denire by much a feur are to be seried, vallare to be seved. The distinction which Journally wor current, the dis: tinction between natural and sufernatival, between notival and remaled religion can wo longer be held to. If won is notical und sets chistianily is sufernative why of come the notional wor connot fothow it's mysteries, and so for as this life is concerned he has wothing to do with it, for the natural won count fenetiate that unkich is above and beyond the former of his wateral cofacities. Echwards and his followers some that aleanly and

carried the doctrine to its legiere? conclusions, in woking the very five distinction between the regens water, and the unregenerate. The cloching of the election of the hew to be sound by the free grace of good, and the adsolute inchility mly construct and satinal fasition which a won can to be if he wokes any distinction between notive and sofematival religion. For if religion is sufermatival, when in his natural state an home wathing to do with it. for His natural formers do not fermit him to enter into the realm of the supernatural, He must Amail the action of Goel's free geace for his regenerations, and the touch of the spirit that shoel enter when him, and woke him sufervolune

and severe to him the secrets of the sufernatival. It is this idea that is behind the cloctrines of the Berkshire Diminity, with their ideas of wins should defendence of god, and salvation by election, and the woris inability to some hunself. But kistory box summed that doctrive of the distinction between natural, and sefercatural in religion. Whether it is the a vot we cannot any , but the fest remains the war to wat longer betieve it to the the of won is notwork sligin is noticed, of uligin is sufervotion won is referration, you workway toke whichever you choose. Shey wear the some, and the Thing that they mean is this. the won has the religious inforke within him, and to some extent feels himself to be spiritorly soluted to the

uneen works about him the hunched and fifty years for seen a mich defaiture from the reged Theology of Cluimism. and its Jollomers. The grachol weathing away of the olil hard and fort lives has about sempled in a compate devolition of the whole structure. We are now in the midst of a new firther, We are asking out In Christianity zufer 2 natival?" but Is it natival!" Is it twe to life? Is it reforte of meeting the highert weeds of wors life, and responding to the indured dewonds of wen's notive for truth govelnes and pearty. In the long and at times heater dus ansins letters science and religing even the

conservative of oligists for religion have hardly attempted to recorde natural science to religion, but they have been concerned with the tool of reconciling the old interfectation of celigion to whit are the flain facts of science. In other worch they have been trying to show that Christianity is natural, and conforms, in se to the low of notive and the some in an far as we can chisemen them To day the methods of science the frincifles which govern and control the scientic, and quide him in his invertigations one accepted as fivel. I do not mean to say that certain theories me dogwatievely held to be twe, but the scientific

habit of mind, the free and 19 unfejerdied invertigationand search ofter truth, and the use of that truth in aits and indus = tries is vo longer a course fleading for seerguiting, but it is an ertobliked frincifle. Exferiment and testing by activel fort, and accepting that which the experiments and tests fourt to as heing time, that in the accepted structured of the would to day. Deworististe the truth of your theory by an actor working test, soys the world to the won who firfnes a ver theory. If you can demonstrate me millareft. If you convot demonstrote, me miel reject. It is just this test that the world to day is exacting of

Christanity. We care vot for [10 you thearies, you flow for solution, your varied notions about this that and the other thing ? devoustrate to us the truth of you theories, fime you fome to some by somis; for forme the test your clochines, and listoric claims by riged and strick examination, Viene that the Bible is in spied by its former of inspiring the world? Pune all things told fort to that which is the said the ofostle Parl, and the world is tuning ofme the church, and chiestiainty with the some commend. What is chiestianity? says the world. an answer is given by its de: pendors. Whit mill it du?

soge the world, au ausme is given by its defendenz, hery well, mys the world, dewristiate your claims. Some very interesting and some very illuminating suggestions have her work of lote as a result of well know events, I venture to perent one or two of there as referentative of narious ausmer which are heing given in ausur to the world's question. What is chistranity?" This was withen and offarently recently, and won fullished in a recent number of the during third

Manity," says the Living Church,". must, necessarily, hinge about the person of Jesus Christ. If it had to deal only with natural morality, it would be absurd to apply to it the epithet Christian. Moral-ity did not enter the world with Christi. The Hebrew prophets cried out for it. The Psalmist extolled it. The synagog wor-ship inculcated it. The Ten Command-ments enforced it. Greek philosophy dwelt upon its loveliness. Poets sang for it. . . A Christianity, therefore, based upon pure morality alone, is untenable. Morality is older than Christianity. A Christianity based upon the moral teaching of Jesus Christ alone is equally untenable. It can-not be demonstrated that that teaching introduced new elements into the moral law. Christianity is absolutely bound up with the successive dogmas which assert the unique position of Jesus Christ as God and man. We know that Jesus Christ is alone good, because he is Son of God because he was always good on earth, because the immaculate goodness cannot he proved apart from the sonship. Christo apply to it the epithet Christian. Moralbecause the immaculate goodness cannot be proved apart from the sonship. Chris-tianity therefore hinges neither upon the Sermon on the Mount, nor upon the Lord's prayer, nor upon the parable of the Prod-igal Son. Each of these does, indeed, illu-minate the Christian life; but no one of them is sufficient to stand as the corner-stone of Christianity. That corner-stone can be nothing less than the reconstitut of stone of Christianity. That corner-stone can be nothing less than the personality of Jesus Christ. Now the personality of Jesus Christ is only sufficient to bear up the structure if it be unique wholly be-yend comparison with the x of human kind. Mere goodness is not sufficient; nat-ural morality will not do h; beautiful prin-ciples of ethics are wholly insufficient. Christianity rests on Jesus Christ; but it rests upon him as Son of God; co-equal with the Father; God of God. Light of Light; of one substance with the Father: 1 071

manity and its ... sets

conceived by the Holy Ghost; born of they Virgin Mary; living, dying, rising in the fulness both of his godhead and of his manhood from the dead; ascended into heaven; reigning eternally at the right hand of God. Nothing less than this is a sufficient foundation for Christianity, Fol-low the aspostic groument iceday and low the agnostic argument to day, and see how easily overthrown is a Christian-ity based on morality alone. Christianity is a life, based upon a life. Nothing less is Christianity. And so the creeds are no more summariae of human days for is Christianity. And so the creeds are no mere summaries of human deductions from natural hypotheses. As such they could have no value. They would be unworthy the subscription of an intelligent man. The mere fact that the church pledges her children to belief in the creeds shows that she has no such conception of their func-tion. It would be an insult to 20th-century intelligence to bind it to fourth-century speculations. If there were a single spec-ulative hypothesis in the creeds, it would be intellectual tyranny to demand subscrip-tion to them. But the creeds state the essence of Christianity. Each fact, thus stated in irreducible language, transcends 8 ę essence of Christianity. Each fact, thus stated in irreducible language, transcends human knowledge. The wisest man does not comprehend all that it involves. But all that it involves is forever bound up with Christianity. Christianity defined with Christianity. Christianity defined can be no less than the sum total of the creeds." Sunday-School Methods as Discussed

lip

E.

Æ. IS.

> ès y

> > 2, t

e

F r f

to

e

in Manhattan.

I do vot ferine to fair judge ment for you, but as for myself I couvet believe that christianty. defind con be vor less there the sum total of the creech' It is for you to say whether or work it is true to you experience. But it is interesting to four out the historicol fort, that there are vor creech in the Then lestament, and, not until the fourth century do they affear when the life, and spintool vigor of the christin church had given away to form, of it is true that Jesur, the founder of christendon, intended to have ruch as stotement as we find in the creechs as the why clothey vot offece somewhere in the hero. Testament.

That is a somple of one think of 15 auswers that and heing given to day in account to the question Totol is Christianity ?

But of course there are wort the idear of the worden win in a conservative church, They refresent the ideas of the reachinists who recoil from the pessing questions that are being fut to them. They are like the wowen who stove baced against the sicle of the station, while the train which she mished to take woved off and left her stinching there. I thought the whole concern wor going " said the awayed ald lachy. There creech, the systems of theology are the stations at which the great or woving this of christin ofinitoolig has stoffed

for a woment to take on forengers." They are stationsady, and one who struch ofne the follow of there stations, way expect as the simple old lacy shiel, to fore the whole thing wone on, But as a matter of yort the stations remain behind while the train works on.

But there is an other type of answer that is heing given to choy to the question what is chisticuity?" Hove who have a deep reverance for the old forms and old statements because of their associations, because of their close relations to the events of the chirthin church, are arrene to choffing the old forms, and substituting in interpetations in their flaces. Such ones really that we do not and cannot toke there stolements literally

they do out accept them intellectively but rother use them sentimentally for old association sake, Into there old tottles they fut men mine, and delight in the long train of associations which Reefs inniolote the historic continuity of the forms as well as the opint of the Church. They welcome new truth new ideas new former, and and in every way take delight in filling the old bottles with the new mine. Survailly they are the very spirit of the free truth seeking atworfhere of the life of gerus, a former for truth and righterness in the world. as an illustration of this type of auswer let me grote some farages from an adchen of

one of the forework of there. 18 There are two essentials of Christianity The first is the rener ant recognition of the Great Good Mill, which trus its way for every word we speak and every deed we do. This mill token wany forme to meet the wanging circumsting of life - kindness, fatience, woderty, charity, honesty, twith, fity, cheer = Juliers, temperance, comage and the like. The wan who tries to observe them all as different phases and experious of the one Good will have the first of the two essentials of Christianity. The second essential of Christianity is the devocratic attitude toward other ferfle. Lo foll stat of the dewacratic attitude

toward the hundlest and warst 19 of our fellow men, is to fall short of the reverant attitude toward the great good Will, which includes the melfare of the lument equally with the highert of the worst voless than the hest." Helyon see, is the utmest franknessin expessing the essentials of Christianity. There is vothing dogwatic, oothing ligoted on warrow in vothing which provede faucient conceptions withing which devies the preclose of the inclinichool to thought. thick, and in nestigate for hunself. But this some writer fasses from this expositions of the

essention of Christianity to fut " some of his new mine into the old bottles, for example te orys. Is Jesus Christ the clivine Son of God, and the Saviona of the world? yes, Because in freceft and exoufle, in life and death, he was inflicitly obechive to the great Good Mill, and included the low hiert and worst in his spen : fothy and service, measured by there st essential standards that jerus stands forth as su= fremely divine. the we samed by the sacrefice of Chirt. yes. For vorman, fim chirst to his hundlest discifle con do the good will, and try wolky to serve others with out soffering the ennity and hat of the

selfish and sinful, with whose schemes the just and generous christin man is bound to inta fere " It would be delightful to think that such clean sparkling mine always filled thre old tottles. but every one Rooms that auch is vot the truthe the is really remainded of that figure which Jesus used about new fotches on old clother, Twee rather it is a new lising to the old outside. lef course me does not mish to sorp that such chinging to old forms is back. But there is an element of danger in such a use of longvoge. It wot only destays the original meaning of the terms, but in foct it involves and distorts the real Truth of the bogs and way of living

that one is trying to fresent. It is meny much as if we should still continue to call the head of ou devocatie notion a King or to fermit or to comfel our Residuat to mean a crown as a symbol of the anchest ancient conceptions of the Divine right to whe It would to a misuse of words and symbols, and lead to a misunderstructing or the fast of three who did wat July unclerstruck. the the other hand there are three who look upon the old forms and symbols as of great interest and significance historicolly, they have a deef reverance for them as symbols which interfretel theme great truths to an age that is now fast. If fact they

have to much reverance for their to sobject them to clarily use and commit them to the refair ship of worlden theology. Jake the spirit of christianity leave the old Jours that we connot use without wintilating them as worments of thre who also tried to service into the spirit of chistianity, and in this spirit meet the needs and dewands of our time with all the misdim and all the former and devotions that we have. bototever form christianity way toke ofm it self, as colorings of the farticular age in which one hoffens to exorine it, Its afruit its essential and Juncha:

mental ideas are the same. The Jusion of the Seek beins for thinking and philosoflicol investigation, and scientific fuit of study, with the Jeruish benins for religious worship, in the michal of the quest world of Kome, mobes the origin of Christianity. The spirit of meth from succe, the officit of washing Jum Israel. the spirit of activity and service from Kime, are melter together into one great wonement fchistendom. the first poffet and teacher was Jesus of Twy nett. In him we find the great formain bead of the ver wore : went, du his sprit of comme serve observations, in his habit

of testing his truth by actual life. set regardless of the trachitrivol teach ing of his feefle, he is the incamation of the queck genins, ha the winter of John forts in perenting to us the incarnation of the breek togos. in prise. The word become flesh. In his implicit Trust in God the Fother when he son vot only on high, but in the becauties of watere and the secrets of his inner life. and in the very vature of the knows sorly he was the enocliment of the Jenish genins of morshiffing. he his voble and simple minis= trations to the foor and needy wherener he formal them be haus loted into its firent form the gamin to activity which the Rown world gove to woden civil yohn. The softle influences of the

we notion ofme the other was found to feadure a new and wodefied and essentially toring clifferents georf withtheir wicht which should enbochy the the genius of each. The first quant for flat and teacher wor Jesus of Tragareth, to his spiritool forer, to his inquiring spirit, to active ministrations many resfinded, Just as ma sperk of Swelm as the first gluvericon. the first great cit'zen, to ewbrely in his life the natival characteristics. of worth. South . East and west. so Jesus wor the first great teacher A who wor the incarration of the the great analgomation of forces which took flace worke heing Jusech with one finer in thre cloyp.

To working god the fother, to seek zeolously ofthe this truth and to translots that truth into the heavily fo woble life, this is the queat spirit vol former of christianity, Hore who like in this afint are christins, and follow the to shirt of the life of your who declared in ov uncertain terms that on the two commanderents, Show shot love the Loral, they god mith all they heart, and with all they sort, and mithall mind, "and Am stoll lor Thy weight as Thypelf," baugeth the whole low and the Juffets. So follow to defend, toofologie for the letter of christianity, is the wort deadly work that one con do, but to live in the

in spirit formen leads us neares to truth to God and to the heart of when thus did Varl seek to follow in Jesus sprits for he Ruew as he toi total us that the letter Rieleth, Int the spirit givet by z."

What is Christianity? The Letter Killeth but the Spirit Giveth Power

Earl Clement Davis

No Date¹

What is Christianity? is a question that seems to be forcing its way to the front again, as it has done time and time again during the centuries since the disciples and followers of Jesus took of the work after Jesus' death. James and the group at Jerusalem gave one interpretation of it, still clinging to the old Jewish forms and insisting upon the ceremony of circumcision. Paul, on the other hand, held that it made no difference whether one was circumcised or not. To follow in spirit, to be justified by faith that was Christianity. Each succeeding generation made its interpretation as Christianity grew in numbers and power. Finally there came a time when the letter, the adherence to vow essential creeds, to forms, rituals and the sacraments had taken the Christian Church far away from the spirit of Christianity, that it became necessary to go back to the source and make a fresh investigation into the nature and power [of] that the [sic] great movement.

Wycliffe, Luther, Huss, Calvin and the reformers took up the task of freeing the spirit from the form. But it was not long before the spirit had become imprisoned in the forms of Protestantism. The Pilgrims and Puritans took up the work of the reformers, and brought the spirit of Christianity to this country. Here it has been subjected to several very severe cross examinations. In each one of these struggles the spirit has conquered over the letter, for the letter is already dead, and it is the attempt of the spirit to escape from the dead body of form that causes the struggles and disturbances which from time to time disturb the quiet of the religious world.

What is Christianity? is now coming to be a common question. The reason why this question is being asked with such seriousness today is perhaps more far-reaching than at

¹ While this sermon is not dated, almost certainly it is from the period 1905-06 when Earl Davis hand-wrote his sermons. In addition to the hand-writing, the paper is consistent with other datable sermons from that time.

any time since the early days of its new born freshness. That conception of Christianity, which looked upon it as a peculiar and special mechanism by which the few were to be saved from the general rack and ruin of the world, is no longer one to which men can or do adhere. It makes little or no difference whether it is the Catholic Church, the Protestant Bible, or the crucified Christ, or any other agency that is to be the centralizing power and expositor of that great mechanical device by which a few are to be saved, or all are to be saved. The distinction which formerly was current, the distinction between natural and supernatural, between natural and revealed religion can no longer be held to. If man is natural and Christianity is supernatural, why, of course the natural man cannot fathom its mysteries, and so far as this life is concerned he has nothing to do with it, for the natural man cannot penetrate that which is above and beyond the power of his natural capacities. Edwards and his followers saw that clearly and carried the doctrine to its logical conclusions, in asking the very fine distinction between the regenerate and the unregenerate. The doctrine of the election of the few to be saved by the free grace of God, and the absolute inability of man to do anything towards saving himself is the only consistent and rational position which a man can take if he makes any distinction between natural and supernatural religion. For if religion is supernatural, man in his natural state can have nothing to do with it. His natural powers do not permit him to enter into the realm of the supernatural. He must await the action of God's free grace for his regeneration, and the touch of the spirit that shall enter into him, and make him supernatural and reveal to him the secrets of the supernatural. It is this idea that is behind the doctrines of the Berkshire Divinity, with their ideas of man's absolute dependence upon God, and salvation by election, and man's inability to save himself.

But history has answered that doctrine of the distinction between natural and supernatural in religion. Whether it is true or not we cannot say. But the fact remains that men no longer believe it to be true. If man is natural, religion is natural. If religion is supernatural, man is supernatural. You may take whichever your choose. They mean the same, and the thing that they mean is this, that man has the religious impulse within him, and to some extent feels himself to be spiritually related to the unseen world about him.

One hundred and fifty years has seen a wide departure from the required theology of Calvinism, and its followers. The gradual weathering away of the old hard and fast lines has almost resulted in a complete demolition of the whole structure. We are now in the midst of a new problem. We are asking not "Is Christianity supernatural?" but "Is it natural?" Is it true to life? Is it capable of meeting the highest needs of man's life, and responding to the individual demands of man's nature for truth, goodness and beauty. In the long and at times heated discussions between science and religion, even the conservative apologists for religion have hardly attempted to reconcile natural science to religion. But they have been concerned with the task of reconciling the old interpretations of religion to what are the plain facts of science. In other words, they have been trying to show that Christianity is natural, and conforms to the laws of nature and the {???} in so far as we can discuss them. Today the methods of science, the principles which govern and control the scientist, and guide him in his investigations are accepted as final. I do not mean to say that certain theories are dogmatically held to be true, but the scientific habit of mind, the free and unprejudiced investigation and search after truth, and the use of that truth in arts and industry is no longer a cause pleading for recognition, but it is an established principle. Experiment and testing by actual fact, and accepting that which the experiments and tests point to as being true, that is the accepted standard of the world today. "Demonstrate the truth of your theory by an actual working test," says the world to the man who proposes a new theory. "If you can demonstrate, we will accept. If you cannot demonstrate, we will reject." It is just this test that the world today is exacting of Christianity. "We care not for your theories, your plans for salvation, your varied notions about this that and the other thing. Demonstrate to us the truth of your theories, prove your power to save, by saving; test your doctrines and historic claims by rigorous and strict examination. Prove that the Bible is inspired by its power of inspiring the world? "Prove all things hold fast to that which is true" said the apostle Paul, and the world is turning upon the Church and Christianity with some command.

What is Christianity? says the world. An answer is given by its defenders. "What will it do?" says the world. An answer is given by its defenders. "Very well," says the world, "demonstrate your claims." Some very interesting and some very illuminating suggestions have been made of late as a result of wellknown events. I venture to present one or two of these as representative of various answers which are being given in answer to the world's question, "What is Christianity?" This was apparently written recently, and was published in a recent number of "The Living Church."

Here a transcription of a column from "The Living Church:"

"A Christianity, says the Living Church, must, necessarily, hinge about the person of Jesus Christ. If it had to deal only with natural morality, it would be absurd to apply to it the epithet Christian. Morality did not enter the world with Christ. The Hebrew prophets cried out for it. The Psalmist extolled it. The synagogue worship inculcated it. The Ten Commandments enforced it. Greek philosophy dwelt upon its loveliness. Poets sang for it. A Christianity, therefore, based upon pure morality alone, is untenable. Morality is older than Christianity. A Christianity based upon the moral teaching of Jesus Christ alone is equally untenable. It cannot be demonstrated that that teaching introduced new elements into the moral law. Christianity is absolutely bound up with the successive dogmas which assert the unique position of Jesus Christ as God and man. We know that Jesus Christ is alone good, because he is Son of God; we never could know that he is Son of God because he was always good on earth, because the immaculate goodness cannot be proved apart from the sonship. Christianity therefore hinges neither upon the Sermon on the Mount, nor upon the Lord's prayer, nor upon the parable of the Prodigal Son. Each of these does indeed illuminate the Christian life; but no one of them is sufficient to stand as the cornerstone of Christianity. That corner-stone can be nothing less than the personality of Jesus Christ. Now the personality of Jesus Christ is only

sufficient to bear up the structure if it be unique wholly beyond comparison with the x [sic] of human kind. Mere goodness is not sufficient; natural morality will not do it; beautiful principles of ethics are wholly insufficient. Christianity rests on Jesus Christ: but it rest upon him as Son of God. Light of Light: of one substance with the Father; conceived by the Holy Ghost; born of the Virgin Mary; living, dying, rising in the fulness both of his godhead and of his manhood from the dead; ascended into heaven; reigning eternally at the right hand of God. Nothing less than this is a sufficient foundation for Christianity. Follow the agnostic argument today and see how easily overthrown is a Christianity based on morality alone. Christianity is a life, based upon a life. Nothing less is Christianity. And so the creeds are no mere summaries of human deductions from natural hypotheses. As such they could have no value. They would be unworthy [of] the subscription of an intelligent man. The mere fact that the church pledges her children to belief in the creeds shows that she has no such conception of their function. It would be an insult to 20th century intelligence to bind it to fourth-century speculations. If there were a single speculative hypothesis in the creeds, it would be intellectual tyranny to demand subscription to them. But the creeds state the essence of Christianity. Each fact, thus stated in irreducible language, transcends human knowledge. The wisest man does not comprehend all that it involves. But all that it involves is forever bound up with Christianity. Christianity defined can be no less than the sum total of the creeds."

I do not presume to pass judgement for you, but as for myself, I cannot believe that "Christianity defined can be no less than the sum total of the creeds." It is for you to say whether or not it is true to your experience. But it is interesting to point out the historical fact, that there are no creeds in the New Testament, and certainly not until the third and possibly not until the fourth century do they appear when the life, and spiritual vigor of the Christian Church had given away to form. If it is true that Jesus, the founder of Christendom, intended to have such a statement as we find in the creeds as the test of being a Christian, why do they not appear somewhere in the New Testament?

That is a sample of one group of answers that are being given today in answer to the question, "What is Christianity?"

But of course these are not the ideas of the modern man even in a conservative church. They represent the ideas of the reactionists who recoil from the pressing questions that are being put to them. They are like the woman who stood braced against the side of the station, while the train which she wished to take moved off and left her standing there. "I thought the whole concern was going." said the amazed old lady. These creeds, these systems of theology are the stations at which the great on-moving train of Christian spirituality has stopped for a moment to take on passengers. They are stationary, and one who stands upon the platform of these stations, may expect as the simple old lady did, to have the whole thing move on. But as a matter of fact the stations remain behind while the train moves on.

But there is another type of answer that is being given today to the question, "What is Christianity?" Those who have a deep reverence for the old forms and old statements because of their associations, because of their close relations to the events of the Christian Church, are averse to dropping the old forms, and substituting new interpretations in their places. Such ones realize that we do not and cannot take these statements literally. They do not accept them intellectually. But rather use them sentimentally for old association's sake. Into these old bottles they put new wine, and delight in the long train of associations which keep inviolate the historic continuity of the forms as well as the spirit of the Church. They welcome new truth, new ideas, new forms and in every way take delight in filling the old bottles with the new wine. Inwardly they are the very spirit of the free truth-seeking atmosphere of the life of Jesus, a power for truth and righteousness in the world. As an illustration of this type of answer let me quote some passages from an address of one of the foremost of these.

There are two essentials of Christianity. The first is the reverent recognition of the Great Good Will, which has its way for every word we speak and every deed we do. This will takes many forms to meet the varying circumstances of life, kindness, patience, modesty, charity, honest, truth, pity, cheerfulness, temperance, courage and the like. The man who tries to observe them all as different phases and expressions of the One Good Will has the first of the two essentials of Christianity. The second essential of Christianity is the democratic attitude toward other people. To fall short of the democratic attitude toward the humblest and worst of our fellow men, is to fall short of the reverent attitude toward the Great Good Will, which includes the welfare of lowest equally with the highest, or the worst no less than the best.

Here, you see, is the utmost frankness in expressing the essentials of Christianity. There is nothing dogmatic, nothing bigoted or narrow, nothing which smacks of ancient conceptions, nothing which denies the freedom of the individual to think, and investigate for himself.

But this same writer passes from this exposition of the essentials of Christianity to put some of his new wine into the old bottles. For example, he says,

> Is Jesus Christ the divine Son of God, and the Savior of the World? Yes. Because in precept and example, in life and death, he was implicitly obedient to the Great Good Will, and included the lowest and worst in his sympathy and service. Measured by these essential tests, Jesus stands forth as supremely divine.

Are we saved by the sacrifice of Christ? Yes. For no man, from Christ to his humblest disciple can do the good will, and try really to serve others without suffering the enmity and hate of the selfish and sinful, with whose schemes the just and generous Christian man is bound to interfere.

It would be delightful to think that such clean sparkling wine always filled those old bottles. But everyone knows

that such is not the truth. One is really reminded of that figure which Jesus used about new patches on old clothes, much rather it is a new lining to the old outside.

Of course one does not wish to say that such clinging to old forms is bad. But there is an element of danger in such a use of language. It not only destroys the original meaning of the terms, but in fact it involves and distorts the real truth of the life and way of living that one is trying to present. It is very much as if we should still continue to call the head of the democratic nation a King or to permit or to compel our President to wear a crown, as a symbol of the ancient conception of the Divine right to rule. It would be a misuse of words and symbols, and lead to a misunderstanding on the part of those who did not fully understand.

On the other hand, there are those who look upon the old forms and symbols as of great interest and significance historically. They have a deep reverence for them as symbols which interpreted great truths to an age that is now past. In fact they have too much reverence for them to subject them to daily use and commit them to the repair shop of modern theology.

Take the spirit of Christianity leave the old forms that we cannot use without mutilating them as monuments of those who also tried to enter into the spirit of Christianity. and in this spirit meet the needs and demands of our time with all the wisdom and all the power and devotion that we have. Whatever form Christianity may take upon itself, as colorings of the particular age in which one happens to examine it, its spirit, its essential and fundamental ideas are the same. The fusion of the Greek genius for thinking and philosophical investigation, and scientific sprit of study, with the Jewish genius for religious worship, in the midst of the great world of Rome, makes the origin of Christianity. The spirit of truth from Greece. The spirit of worship from Israel. The spirit of activity and service from Rome. All melted together into one great movement of Christendom. The first prophet and teacher was Jesus of Nazareth. In him we find the great fountainhead of the new movement. In his spirit of common-sense observations, in his habit of testing his truth by actual life, regardless of the traditional teachings of his people, he is the incarnation of the Greek genius. As the writer of John puts in presenting to us the incarnation of the Greek Logos in

Jesus, "The word became flesh." In his implicit trust in God, the Father whom he saw not only on high, but in the beauties of nature and in the secrets of his inner life and in the very nature of the human soul, he was the embodiment of the Jewish genius of worshipping. In his noble and simple ministrations to the poor and needy wherever he found them he translated into its finest form the genius to activity which the Roman world gave to modern civilization. The subtle influences of the one nation upon the other was bound to produce a new and modified and essentially different group within their midst which should embody the genius of each. The first great prophet and teacher was Jesus of Nazareth. To his spiritual power, to his inquiring spirit, to active ministrations, many responded. Just as we speak of Lincoln as the first American, the first great citizen, to embody in his life the national characteristics of North, South, East and West, so Jesus was the first great teacher who was the incarnation of the great amalgamation of forces which were being fused into one power in those days.

To worship God the father, to seek zealously after his truth, and to translate that truth into the beauty of a noble life, this is the great spiritual power of Christianity. Those who live in this spirit of the life of Jesus who declared in no uncertain terms that on the two commandments, "Thou shalt love the Lord, thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all [thy] mind," and "Thou shall love thy neighbor as thyself," hangeth the whole law and the prophets.

So follow: to defend, to apologize for the letter of Christianity, is the most deadly work that one can do. But to live in its spirit forever leads us nearer to truth, to God, and to the heart of man. Thus did Paul seek to follow in Jesus's spirit, for he knew as he has told us that "the letter killeth, but the spirit giveth life."